arXiv:1412.2038v2 [math.DS] 4 Nov 2015

# ENTROPY OF AT($n$) SYSTEMS

RADU-B. MUNTEANU

Abstract. In this paper we show that any ergodic measure preserving transformation of a standard probability space which is AT($n$) for some positive integer $n$ has zero entropy. We show that for every positive integer $n$ any Bernoulli shift is not AT($n$). We also give an example of a transformation which has zero entropy but does not have property AT($n$), for any integer $n \geq 1$.

## 1. Introduction

In order to answer some questions from ergodic theory closely related to the theory of von Neumann algebras, T. Giordano and D. Handelman [8] reformulated matrix valued random walks and their associated group actions in terms of dimension spaces. Their approach leads to a notion of rank called AT($n$), for integers $n \geq 1$. This new concept generalizes approximate transitivity (shortly AT), a property of ergodic actions introduced by A. Connes and E. J. Woods [1] in the theory of von Neumann algebras, which occurs for $n = 1$.

Throughout this paper $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu, T)$ denotes a dynamical system, where $T$ is a measure preserving automorphism of a standard probability space $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$. For an integer $n \geq 1$, we say that the dynamical system $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu, T)$ (or simply $T$) is AT($n$) if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, for any finite set of functions $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^k$ from $L^1_+(X, \mu)$ there exist $n$ functions $\{g_m\}_{m=1,\ldots,n} \in L^1_+(X, \mu)$, a positive integer $N$, nonnegative reals $\{\alpha_{i,j}^{(m)}\}_{i=1,2,\ldots,k,j=1,2,\ldots,N}^{m=1,2,\ldots,n}$ and integers $\{t_j^{(m)}\}_{j=1,\ldots,N}^{m=1,\ldots,n}$, such that

$$\|f_i - \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{i,j}^{(m)} g_m \circ T^{t_j^{(m)}}\|_1 < \varepsilon, \tag{1}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$.

Note it is sufficient to ask that equation (1) holds for $k = n+1$. Also, one can demand that $\|g_m\| = 1$ for all $m$ and that $\sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{i,j}^{(m)} = \|f_i\|$, for all $i$.

Remark that a rank $n$ transformation (see [4]) is AT($n$) and every AT($n$) system enjoys AT($n+1$) property. The techniques developed by T. Giordano and D. Handelman in [8] allowed the authors to construct

examples of AT(2) transformation which are not AT. In [7], it was proved that the measure preserving automorphism corresponding to the Rudin-Shapiro substitution, which has rank 4 (and therefore is AT(4)), is not AT.

Dynamical entropy is an invariant of measure theoretic dynamical systems introduced by A. N. Kolmogorov [9] and brought to its contemporary form by Y. G. Sinai [11]. In 1970, D. Ornstein [10] showed that Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy completely classifies the Bernoulli shifts, a basic problem which couldn't be solved for many decades. It was proved by A. Connes and E. J. Woods [1] that any dynamical system which is AT has zero entropy. Different proofs of this result can be found in [2], [3] and [7]. It is natural to ask whether AT($n$) dynamical systems have also zero entropy.

In this paper we give a necessary condition for shift maps to be AT($n$) (Theorem 2.1). For such transformations, this is a generalization of the necessary condition for an action to be AT from [3].

We use this condition to prove that, for any positive integer $n$, Bernoulli shifts are not AT($n$), for $n \geq 1$. An important consequence of this result is Corollary 3.3, which shows that any finite measure preserving transformation which is AT($n$) for some positive integer $n$, has zero entropy. In [3] A. Dooley and A. Quas proved that zero entropy is not sufficient for AT; they gavr an example of a zero entropy transformation which is not approximately transitive. In this paper we prove that the zero entropy and not AT transformtion from [3] is not AT($n$), for any $n \geq 1$.

## 2. A necessary condition for shift maps to be AT($n$)

Let $\Sigma_k = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the shift space over the alphabet $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. A cylinder set in $\Sigma_k$ is a set of the form $[y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_m]_n = \{x \in \Sigma_k; x_n = y_0, x_{n+1} = y_1, \ldots, x_{m+n} = y_m\}$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq 0$ and $y_i \in \{1, 2, \ldots k\}$. The cylinders of the form $[y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{2n}]_{-n}$ with $n \geq 0$ are called centered cylinders. We denote by $\mathfrak{B}_k$ the $\sigma$-algebra generated by the cylinder sets of the shift space $\Sigma_k$. The map $S_k : \Sigma_k \to \Sigma_k$ defined by

$$(S_k x)_n = x_{n+1} \text{ for } x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

is called the $k$-shift map.

Let $p = (p(1), \ldots, p(k))$ be a probability vector with non-zero entries, i.e. $p(i) > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} p(i) = 1$. Let $\mu_p$ be the unique probability measure on $(\Sigma_k, \mathfrak{B}_k)$, which on cylinder sets is given by

$$\mu_p([y_0, y_1, \ldots y_m]_n) = p(y_0)p(y_1)\cdots p(y_m).$$

This measure is called the Bernoulli measure determined by $p$. The dynamical system $(\Sigma_k, \mathfrak{B}_k, \mu_p, S_k)$ is called the Bernoulli shift associated to the probability vector $p$.

Let $\Lambda$ be a finite subset of the integers. A funny word on the alphabet $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ based on $\Lambda$ is a finite sequence $W = (W_n)_{n\in\Lambda}$ with $W_n \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. For two funny words $W, W'$ based on the same set $\Lambda$ their Hamming distance is given by

$$d_\Lambda(W, W') = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|}\text{card}\{n \in \Lambda : W_n \neq W'_n\}.$$

If $x \in \Sigma_k$ and $\Lambda$ is a finite set in $\mathbb{Z}$ we denote by $x|_\Lambda$ the funny word $(x_n)_{n\in\Lambda}$.

The following theorem provides a necessary condition for shift maps to be AT($n$).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let $S_k$ be the shift map on the space $\Sigma_k$ and $\nu$ be a non atomic shift invariant probability measure on $\Sigma_k$. Assume that $S_k$ is AT($n$) but not AT($n-1$), for some $n \geq 2$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $\delta > 0$ there exist finite sets $\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2, \dots, \Lambda^n$, with $\min\{|\Lambda^i|; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ arbitrarily large, and funny words $W^i$ based on $\Lambda^i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\Lambda^i| \nu\left(\{x \in \Sigma_k : d_{\Lambda^i}(x|_\Lambda, W^i) < \varepsilon\}\right) > 1 - \delta.$$

*Proof.* Let $(\delta_m)_{m\geq 1}$ be a sequence decreasing to zero. The theorem will result if we prove that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist finite sets $\Lambda^i_m \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and funny words $W^i_m$ based on $\Lambda^i_m$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots n$ such that $\sup_{m\geq 1} \min\{|\Lambda^i_m|, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} = \infty$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\Lambda^i_m| \nu\left(\{x \in \Sigma_k : d_{\Lambda^i}(x|_\Lambda, W^i_m) < \varepsilon\}\right) > 1 - \delta_m.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For $m \geq 0$, denote by $\mathcal{C}_m$ the set of all centered cylinders of the form $[y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{2m}]_{-m}$ which have positive measure. Since $\nu$ is non atomic, for each $C \in \mathcal{C}_m$, one can find a measurable partition $\mathcal{P}_C$ of $C$ such that $\nu(A) < \delta_m$, for every $A \in \mathcal{P}_C$. Let

$$\mathcal{J}_m = \{A \in \mathcal{P}_C : \ C \in \mathcal{C}_m\}.$$

Notice that $\{A : \text{ there exists } m \geq 1 \text{ such that } A \in \mathcal{J}_m\}$ generates (up to null sets) the sigma algebra $\mathfrak{B}_k$.

For $A \in \mathcal{J}_m$ let $g_A = \frac{1}{\nu(A)}\mathbf{1}_A$ the normalized indicator function corresponding to $A$. Let $m \geq 1$ and $A \in \mathcal{J}_m$. Since, by assumption, $S_k$ is AT($n$), there exists $f_{1,m}, f_{2,m}, \dots, f_{n,m} \in L^1_+(\Sigma_k, \nu)$ of norm 1,

sequences of non-negative numbers $\{a^1_{A,j}\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}, \{a^2_{A,j}\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}, \dots, \{a^n_{A,j}\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with finitely many non-zero elements such that $\sum_j a^1_{A,j} + \sum_j a^2_{A,j} + \dots + \sum_j a^n_{A,j} = 1$ and

$$\|g_A - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_j a^i_{A,j} f_{i,m}\circ S_k^{-j}\| < \frac{\varepsilon\delta_m^2}{36}.$$

It then follows that for any $A \in \mathcal{J}_m$ we have

$$\int_{\Sigma_k\setminus A} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_j a^i_{A,j} f_{i,m}\circ S_k^{-j} d\nu < \frac{\varepsilon\delta_m^2}{36}.$$

Let $A \in \mathcal{J}_m$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ define

$$P^i_A = \{j : \int_{\Sigma_k\setminus A} f_{i,m}\circ S_k^{-j} d\nu \geq \varepsilon\delta_m/6\}.$$

It easily can be seen that $\sum_{j\in P^1_A} a^1_{A,j} + \sum_{j\in P^2_A} a^2_{A,j} + \dots + \sum_{j\in P^n_A} a^n_{A,j} < \delta_m/6$. By setting the $a^i_{A,j}$ to be 0 for $j \in P^i_A$, and re-scaling the remaining $a^i_{A,j}$ we obtain coefficients $b^1_{A,j}, b^2_{A,j}, \dots, b^n_{A,j}$ with $\sum_j b^1_{A,j} + \sum_g b^2_{A,j} + \sum_j b^n_{A,j} = 1$, satisfying

$$\|g_A - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_j b^i_{A,j} f_{i,m}\circ S_k^{-j}\| < \frac{\delta_m}{2},$$

and such that

$$\int_{\Sigma_k\setminus A} f_{i,m}\circ S_k^{-j} d\nu < \frac{\varepsilon\delta_m}{6}$$

if $b^i_{A,j} > 0$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let

$$\Lambda^i_m = \{j \in \mathbb{Z} : \text{ there exists } A \in \mathcal{J}_m, b^i_{A,j} > 0\}.$$

We claim that

$$\sup_{m\geq 1} \min\{|\Lambda^i_m|, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} = \infty. \tag{2}$$

We will prove the claim by contradiction. Let us suppose that

$$\min\{|\Lambda^i_m|, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \leq M < \infty, \text{ for all } m \geq 1.$$

Let $i(m)$ be such that $|\Lambda^{i(m)}_m| = \min\{|\Lambda^i_m|, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, then $|\Lambda^{i(m)}_m| \leq M$, for all $m \geq 1$. Let

$$\mathcal{L}_m = \{A \in \mathcal{J}_m : \text{ there exists } j \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } b^{i(m)}_{A,j} > 0\}.$$

Remark that $|\mathcal{L}_m| \leq |\Lambda^{i(m)}_m|$. Since $\nu(A) < \delta_m$ for every $A \in \mathcal{J}_m$, it follows that $\lim_{m\to\infty} \nu(\cup_{A\in\mathcal{L}_m} A) = 0$. Hence, any $f \in L^1_+(\Sigma_k, \nu)$ can be approximated arbitrarily close in $L^1$-norm by step functions of the form

$\sum_{A\in\mathcal{J}_m-\mathcal{L}_m}\alpha_A g_A$ with $\alpha_A\geq 0$ and $\sum_{A\in\mathcal{J}_m\setminus\mathcal{L}_m}\alpha_A=\|f\|$, by choosing $m$ sufficiently large.

Let $f_l\in L^1_+(\Sigma_k,\nu)$, $l=1,2,\dots,n$ be functions of norm 1 and $\eta>0$. Choose $m\geq 1$ sufficiently large such that $\delta_m<\eta$ and such that exist non-negative numbers $\alpha^i_A$ with $\sum_{A\in\mathcal{J}_m\setminus\mathcal{L}_m}\alpha^l_A=1$ satisfying

$$\|f_l-\sum_{A\in\mathcal{J}_m\setminus\mathcal{L}_m}\alpha^l_A g_A\|_1<\frac{\eta}{2},$$

for $l=1,2,\dots,n$. Note that if $A\in\mathcal{J}_m\setminus\mathcal{L}_m$ then

$$\|g_A-\sum_{i=1,i\neq i(m)}^{n}\sum_j b^i_{A,j}f_{i,m}\circ S_k^{-j}\|<\frac{\delta_m}{2}.$$

We obtain then non-negative coefficients $c^{i,l}_j$, $i,l\in\{1,2,\dots n\}$, $i\neq i(m)$ with finitely many of them different from zero such that

$$\sum_{i=1,i\neq i(m)}^{n}\sum_j c^{i,l}_j=1$$

and

$$\|f_l-\sum_{i=1,i\neq i(m)}^{n}\sum_j c^{i,l}_j f_{i,m}\circ S_k^{-j}\|<\eta.$$

Since such an approximation can be done for any $\eta>0$, it follows that $S_k$ is AT($n-1$). But this contradicts our hypothesis, and therefore, the claim (2) holds.

Notice that for $i=1,2,\dots,n$ we have

$$\sum_{A\in\mathcal{J}_m}\sum_{j:b^i_{A,j}>0}\int_{\Sigma_k\setminus A}f_{i,m}\circ S_k^{-j}d\nu<\frac{\varepsilon\delta_m|\Lambda^i_m|}{6}$$

and then,

$$\frac{\varepsilon\delta_m|\Lambda^i_m|}{6}>\sum_{A\in\mathcal{J}_m}\sum_{j:b^i_{A,j}>0}\int f_{i,m}\circ S_k^{-j}\cdot 1_{\Sigma_k\setminus A}d\nu=\int f_{i,m}\sum_{A\in\mathcal{J}_m}\sum_{g:b^i_{A,j}>0}1_{\Sigma_k\setminus A}\circ S_k^j d\nu.$$

Let

$$H^i_m=\frac{1}{|\Lambda^i_m|}\sum_{A\in\mathcal{J}_m}\sum_{j:b^i_{A,j}>0}1_{\Sigma_k\setminus A}\circ S_k^j.$$

For $j\in\Lambda^i_m$, there exists a unique set $A\in\mathcal{J}_m$ such that $\int_A f_{i,m}\circ S_k^{-j}d\nu>1-\varepsilon\delta_m/6$. Let $[z]_0=\{x\in\Sigma_k : x_0=z\}$ be the unique cylinder set from $\mathcal{C}_1$ containing $A$ and define $W^i_{m,j}$ to be this $z$. Denote by $W^i_m$ the funny word $(W^i_{m,j})_{j\in\Lambda^i_m}$ based on $\Lambda^i_m$. Since the above

inequality demonstrates that $\int H_m^i f_{i,m} d\nu < \varepsilon\delta_m/6$, it follows that $\int_{\{z:H_m^i(z)>\varepsilon\}} f_{i,m}(x)d\nu < \delta_m/6$. Let $\widetilde{f}_{i,m}$ be the function defined by

$$\widetilde{f}_{i,m}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } H_m^i(x) > \varepsilon \\ f(x)/\int_{\{z:H_m^i(z)<\varepsilon\}} f_{i,m} d\nu & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly,

$$H_m^i(x) \geq \frac{1}{\Lambda_m^i}\text{card}\{j \in \Lambda_m^i : W_{m,j}^k \neq x_j\}.$$

Therefore, the support of $\widetilde{f}_{i,m}$ is contained in $\{x \in \Sigma_k : d(x|_{\Lambda_m^i}, W_m^i) < \varepsilon\}$. Since $\|\widetilde{f}_{i,m} - f_{i,m}\| < \frac{\delta_m}{2}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, for $A \in \mathcal{J}_m$ we have that

$$\|g_A - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_j b_{A,j}^i \widetilde{f}_{i,m} \circ S_k^{-j}\| < \delta_m.$$

Hence, summming over $A \in \mathcal{J}_m$ we get

$$\|1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{A\in\mathcal{J}_m}\sum_j \nu(A) b_{A,j}^i \widetilde{f}_{i,m} \circ S_k^{-j}\| < \delta_m.$$

Since the support of each $\widetilde{f}_{i,m}$ is contained in $\{x \in \Sigma_k : d_{\Lambda_m^i}(x|_{\Lambda_m^i}, W_m^i) < \varepsilon\}$, it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{A\in\mathcal{J}_m}\sum_j \nu(A) b_{A,j}^i \widetilde{f}_{i,m} \circ S_k^{-j}$$

is supported on a set of measure at most

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\Lambda_m^i|\{x \in \Sigma_k : d_{\Lambda_m^i}(x|_{\Lambda_m^i}, W_m^i) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\Lambda_m^i|\nu\left(\{x \in \Sigma_k : d_{\Lambda_m^i}(x|_\Lambda, W_m^i) < \varepsilon\}\right) > 1 - \delta_m$$

and the proof of the theorem is complete. □

## 3. AT($n$) systems have zero entropy

In this section we show that for any positive integer $n$, Bernoulli shifts are not AT($n$). We also show that AT($n$) systems have zero entropy. Let us prove first the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *A factor of an AT($n$) system is AT($n$).*

*Proof.* Let $\pi$ be a factor map from an AT($n$) system $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu, T)$ onto another dynamical system $(Y, \mathfrak{F}, \nu, S_k)$. Let $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{n+1} \in L^1_+(X, \mu)$. Since $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu, T)$ is AT($n$), there exists $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n \in L^1_+(X, \mu)$, a positive integer $N$, reals $\alpha^{(m)}_{i,j} \geq 0$, for $m = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1$, $j = 1, 2, ..., N$ and integers $\{t^{(m)}_j\}^{m=1,\ldots,n}_{j=1,\ldots,N}$, such that

$$\|f_i \circ \pi - \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha^{(m)}_{i,j} g_m \circ T^{t^{(m)}_j}\|_1 < \varepsilon,$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1$. Taking expectation with respect to the $T$-invariant $\sigma$-algebra $\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{B})$, we obtain

$$\|f_i \circ \pi - \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha^{(m)}_{i,j} E(g_m|\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{B})) \circ T^{t^{(m)}_j}\|_1 < \varepsilon,$$

for each $i$. Notice that for all $i$, we can write $E(g_m|\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}) = G_m \circ \pi$ for some measurable function $G_m$ on $Y$, and then, since $S_k \circ \pi = \pi \circ T$, we have

$$\|f_i - \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha^{(m)}_{i,j} G_m \circ S_k^{t^{(m)}_j}\|_1 < \varepsilon.$$

We can then conclude that the system $(Y, \mathfrak{F}, \nu, S_k)$ is AT($n$). □

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let $(\Sigma_k, \mathfrak{B}_k, \mu_p, S_k)$ be the Bernoulli shift associated to the probability vector $p = (p(1), \ldots p(k))$. Then, for any $n \geq 1$, the shift map $S_k$ is not AT($n$).*

*Proof.* We prove the proposition by induction. It is well known that $S_k$ has positive entropy and therefore is not AT(1). Let us assume now that for some $n \geq 2$, $S_k$ is not AT($n-1$). Let $r = \max\{p(i); i = 1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. If $W$ is a funny word based on $\Lambda$ then

$$\mu_p(x \in \Sigma_k : d_\Lambda(x|_W, W)) \leq \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ [m\varepsilon] \end{array} \right) r^{m-[m\varepsilon]},$$

where $|\Lambda| = m$.

Notice that if $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small then

$$\frac{r(1-\varepsilon)^\varepsilon}{(1-\varepsilon)\varepsilon^\varepsilon r^\varepsilon} < 1.$$

For $m$ sufficiently large, we have

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ [m\varepsilon] \end{array} \right) r^{m-[m\varepsilon]} < \frac{2(\frac{m}{e})^m \sqrt{2\pi m}}{(\frac{m\varepsilon}{e})^{m\varepsilon}\sqrt{2\pi m\varepsilon}(\frac{m(1-\varepsilon)}{e})^{m(1-\varepsilon)}\sqrt{2\pi m(1-\varepsilon)}} r^{m-[m\varepsilon]}$$

$$< \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi m\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}} \left( \frac{r(1-\varepsilon)^{\varepsilon}}{(1-\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} r^{\varepsilon}} \right)^{m} < \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n \cdot m}.$$

It then follows that if $|\Lambda|$ sufficiently large, $\mu_p(x \in \Sigma_k : d_\Lambda(x|_W, W) < \varepsilon) < \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n \cdot |\Lambda|}$. Then Theorem 2.1, implies that $S_k$ is not AT($n$). □

We can prove now the result announced in the beginning concerning the entropy of AT($n$) systems.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let $n$ be a positive integer and let $T$ be an ergodic measure preserving transformation of a standard probability space $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$ which is AT($n$). Then $T$ has zero entropy.*

*Proof.* We prove this lemma by contradiction. Assume that the entropy $h(T)$ of $T$ is strictly positive. Consider a Bernoulli shift $(\Sigma_k, \mathfrak{B}_k, \mu_p, S_k)$ associated to a probability vector $p = (p(1), p(2), \ldots, p(k))$ such that $h(T) \geq h(S_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p(i) \log p(i)$. By Sinai's theorem, $(\Sigma_k, \mathfrak{B}_k, \mu_p, S_k)$ is a factor of the system $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu, T)$. Then, Lemma 3.1, implies that $(\Sigma_k, \mathfrak{B}_k, \mu_p, S_k)$ is AT($n$). This is a contradiction. □

In the last part of this setion we will show that there exists a zero entropy dynamical system which is not AT($n$) for any $\geq 1$. Let $\alpha$ be an irrational number and let $T$ be the transformation of the 2-torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ defined by

$$T(s,t) = (s + \alpha, 2s + t + \alpha) \pmod 1.$$

This transformation, studied by H. Furstenberg [5, 6], is measure preserving, uniquely ergodic and has zero entropy. It was proved by A. Dooley and A. Quas in [3] that $T$ is not approximately transitive.

**Proposition 3.4.** *The zero entropy transformation $T$ defined above does not have property AT(n), for any positive integer $n$.*

*Proof.* For $k \geq 2$ let $\mathcal{P}$ be the partition of $\mathbb{T}^2$ consisting of the sets $A_i = \mathbb{T} \times \left[\frac{i-1}{k}, \frac{i}{k}\right)$, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k+1$. Let $\Sigma_{k+1} = \{1, 2, \ldots, k+1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and let $\pi : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \Sigma_{k+1}$ be the natutal map from $\mathbb{T}^2$ in $\Sigma_{k+1}$, defined by $\pi(z) = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, where $x_n = i$ if $T^n(z) \in A_i$.

If $\mu$ is the Haar measure $\mu$ of $\mathbb{T}^2$, denote by $\nu$ the measure $\pi^{-1} \circ \mu$ induced by $\mu$ on $\Sigma_{k+1}$.
We show first that for all $m \neq n$

$$\nu\left(\{y \in \Sigma_{k+1} : \ y_m = i, \quad y_n = j\}\right) = \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \tag{3}$$

Since $\nu$ is shift invariant it is enough to show that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ $\nu\left(\{y \in \Sigma_{k+1} : \ y_0 = i, \quad y_n = j\}\right) = \frac{1}{(k+1)^2}$. This is the Haar measure of the set of points $(s,t)$ such that $s \in A_i$ and $\pi_2(T^n(s,t)) \in A_j$ (here

$\pi_2(s,t) = t$ for $(s,t) \in \mathbb{T}^2$). In other words, this is the set of all $(s,t)$ such that $s \in A_i$ and $\langle t + n^2\alpha + 2ns\rangle \in A_j$, where $\langle z\rangle$ denotes the fractional part of $z \in \mathbb{R}$. It easily can be observed that the measure of this set is $\frac{1}{(k+1)^2}$. Let $\Lambda$ be an arbitrary subset of integers of cardinality $n$ and fix $x \in \Sigma_{k+1}$. Define $\Lambda_j : \Sigma_{k+1} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\Lambda_j(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad y_j = x_j, \\ \varepsilon & \text{if} \quad y_j = x_j + 1, \\ \dots & \\ \varepsilon^k & \text{if} \quad y_j = x_j + k, \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon = e^{2\pi i/(k+1)}$. Let $S = \sum_{j\in\Lambda} \Lambda_j$. From (3) it results that $E(|S|^2) = n$ and then, by Markov inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nu\left(|S| > \frac{2k+1}{2k+2} \cdot n\right) = \ & \nu\left(|S|^2 > \frac{(2k+1)^2}{(2k+2)^2} \cdot n^2\right) \\ & \leq \frac{E(|S|^2)}{n^2} \cdot \frac{(2k+2)^2}{(2k+1)^2} = \frac{(2k+2)^2}{(2k+1)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

For $y \in \Sigma_{k+1}$ define

$$a_i(y) = \text{card}\{j \in \Lambda : \ y_j = x_j + i - 1\} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots k+1.$$

Then

$$S = a_1 + \varepsilon a_2 + \varepsilon^2 a_3 + \dots + \varepsilon^k a_{k+1}.$$

Now, consider the sets

$$B_{\frac{1}{4k+4},x,\Lambda} = \left\{ y \in \Sigma_{k+1} : \ d_\Lambda(y|_\Lambda, x|_\Lambda) < \frac{1}{4k+4} \right\},$$

$$A = \left\{ y \in \Sigma_{k+1} : \ |S| > \frac{2k+1}{2k+2} \cdot n \right\}$$

and for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$,

$$A_i = \left\{ y \in A : \ a_i(y) > a_j(y) \text{ for all } j \neq i \right\}.$$

Notice that $B_{\frac{1}{4k+4},x,\Lambda} \subset A_1$. Indeed if $y \in B_{\frac{1}{4k+4},x,\Lambda}$, then $a_1(y) \geq \frac{4k+3}{4k+4} \cdot n$, and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |S(y)| > |a_1(y)| - & |\varepsilon a_2(y) + \dots \varepsilon^k a_{k+1}(y)| \\ & \geq \left(\frac{4k+3}{4k+4} - \frac{1}{4k+4}\right) \cdot n = \frac{2k+1}{2k+2} \cdot n. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $R$ be the transformation of $\mathbb{T}^2$ defined by $R(s,t) = (s, t + \frac{1}{k+1}) \pmod 1$, which clearly commutes with $T$. It is easy to see that $a_i(\pi(s,t)) = a_{i+1}(\pi(R(s,t)))$ for all $(s,t) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and

then $R(\pi^{-1}(A_i)) = \pi^{-1}(A_{i+1})$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. Since $R$ is a measure preserving transformation it follows that $\nu(A_i) = \mu(\pi^{-1}(A_i)) = \mu(R(\pi^{-1}(A_i))) = \mu(\pi^{-1}(A_{i+1})) = \nu(A_{i+1})$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ and then, $\nu(A_1) \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \cdot \nu(A)$. Hence

$$|\Lambda| \cdot \nu(B_{\frac{1}{4k+4},x,\Lambda}) \leq |\Lambda| \cdot \nu(A_1) \leq \frac{4k+4}{4k^2+4k+1}$$

and therefore

$$k \cdot |\Lambda| \cdot \nu(B_{\frac{1}{4k+4},x,\Lambda}) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{4k^2+4k+1} \tag{4}$$

Theorem 2.1 from [3], implies that the system $(\Sigma_{k+1}, \mathfrak{B}_{k+1}, \nu, S_{k+1})$ is not AT. Then (4) and Theorem 2.1 implies that the system is not AT(2). Applying successively the same Theorem 2.1, it results that the system $(\Sigma_{k+1}, \mathfrak{B}_{k+1}, \nu, S_{k+1})$ is not AT($k$). Using now Proposition 3.1, it follows that that $T$ is not AT($k$). Since $k \geq 2$ was arbitrary chosen, the proposition is proved. □

**Acknowledgement.** This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian Ministry of Education, CNCS - UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-RU-PD-2012-3-0533.

## References

[1] A. Connes and E. J. Woods. Approximately transitive flows and ITPFI factors. *Ergod, Theory Dynam. Sys.* **5** (1985), 203–236.
[2] M. C. David. *Sur quelques problemes desc theorie ergodique non commutative.* PhD thesis, 1979.
[3] A. H. Dooley and A. Quas, Approximate transitivity for zero-entropy systems. *Ergod. Theory Dynam. Sys.* **25** (2005), 443–453.
[4] S. Ferenczi. Systems of finite rank. *Colloquium Mathematicae* **73** (1997), 35–65.
[5] H. Furstenberg. Strict ergodicity and transformations of the torus. *Amer. J. Math* **83** (1961), 573–601.
[6] H. Furstenberg. *Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1981.
[7] E. H. El Abdalaoui and M. Lemanczyk. Approximate transitivity property and Lebesgue spectrum. *Monatshefte Math.* **161** (2010), 121–144.
[8] T. Giordano and D. Handelman. Matrix-valued random walks and variations on property AT. *Munster J. of Math.* **1** (2008), 15–72.
[9] A. N. Kolmogorov. A new invariant for transitive dynamical systems, *D.A.N. SSSR* **119** (1958), 861–869.
[10] D. S. Ornstein. Bernoulli shifts with the same entropy are isomorphic. *Advances in Math.* **4** (1970), 337–352.
[11] Y. G. Sinai. On the concept of entropy for a dynamic system. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **124** (1959), 768–771.
[12] C. Shannon. The mathematical theory of communication. *Bell Syst. Tech. J.* **27** (1948), 379–423.

Department of Mathematics, University of Bucharest, 14 Academiei St, 010014, Sector 1, Bucharest, Romania
*E-mail address*: radu-bogdan.munteanu@g.unibuc.ro